arXiv:1206.4452v9 [nucl-th] 26 Mar 2013

Generalized U(N) gauge transformations in the realm of the extended covariant Hamilton formalism of field theory

J Struckmeier
GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Planckstr. 1, D-64291 Darmstadt
Goethe University, Max-von-Laue-Str. 1, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
E-mail: `j.struckmeier@gsi.de`

Abstract. The Lagrangians and Hamiltonians of classical field theory require to comprise gauge fields in order to be form-invariant under *local gauge transformations*. These gauge fields have turned out to correctly describe pertaining elementary particle interactions. In this paper, this principle is extended to require *additionly* the form-invariance of a classical field theory Hamiltonian under variations of the space-time curvature emerging from the gauge fields. This approach is devised on the basis of the *extended* canonical transformation formalism of classical field theory which allows for transformations of the space-time metric in addition to transformations of the fields. Working out the Hamiltonian that is form-invariant under extended local gauge transformations, we can dismiss the conventional requirement for gauge bosons to be *massless* in order for them to preserve the local gauge invariance. The emerging equation of motion for the *curvature scalar* R turns out to be compatible with that from a Proca system in the case of a *static* gauge field.

PACS numbers: 45.20.Jj, 47.10.Df, 11.15.-q, 14.70.-e

Published in: J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **40** (2013) 015007 (24pp)

1. Introduction

The principle of *local gauge invariance* has been proven to be an eminently fruitful device for deducing all elementary particle interactions of the standard model. With this paper, a generalization of the conventional gauge transformation formalism will be presented that extends the requirement of *local gauge invariance* under linear transformation of the fields to also demand local invariance under transformations of the space-time metric. Thereby, the well-known formal similarities between non-Abelian gauge theories and general relativity (Ryder 2009, p 409, Cheng and Li 2000, p 163) are incorporated in a natural way into the gauge transformation formalism. We know from general relativity that energy — and hence mass — is the source of curvature of space-time. As conventional gauge theories do not contain any interaction terms with the space-time curvature, it appears evident that *massive* gauge fields cannot emerge in this description.

Conventional gauge theories are commonly derived on the basis of Lagrangians of relativistic field theory (cf, for instance, Ryder 1996, Griffiths 2008). Although perfectly valid, the Lagrangian formulation of gauge transformation theory is *not* the optimum choice. The reason is that in order for a Lagrangian transformation theory to be physical, hence to maintain the *action principle*, it must be supplemented by additional structure, referred to as the *minimum coupling rule*, or the so-called *covariant derivative*, the latter being distinct from that of Riemannian geometry.

In contrast, the formulation of gauge theories in terms of *covariant Hamiltonians* — each of them being equivalent to a corresponding Lagrangian — may exploit the framework of the *canonical transformation* formalism. With the transformation rules for fields and their canonical conjugates being derived from *generating functions*, it is automatically assured that the action principle is preserved, hence that the actual gauge transformation is *physical*. No additional structure needs to be incorporated for setting up an *amended Hamiltonian* that is *locally* gauge-invariant on the basis of a given *globally* gauge-invariant Hamiltonian.

Prior to working out the general local U(N) gauge theory in the extended canonical formalism in section 5, a concise introduction of the concept of extended Lagrangians and Hamiltonians and their subsequent field equations is presented in sections 2 and 3. In these sections, we restrict ourselves to *trivial* extended Lagrangians and Hamiltonians that are directly obtained on the basis of given conventional (non-extended) Lagrangians and Hamiltonians of our physical systems. The trivial extended Lagrangians and Hamiltonians do not *determine* the dynamics of the space-time metric (as does the Hilbert Lagrangian of general relativity which yields the Einstein equations), but rather *allow* for arbitrary variations of the space-time metric. This necessary precondition provides the foundation on which the extended canonical transformation theory for the realm of classical field theory will be sketched in section 4.

The U(N) gauge theory, outlined in section 5, is then based on a generating function that in the first step merely describes the demanded transformation of the fields in iso-space. As usual, this transformation forces us to introduce gauge fields that render an appropriately amended Hamiltonian locally gauge invariant. The emerging transformation law for the gauge fields then gives rise to introduce a corresponding amended generating function that additionly defines this transformation law for the gauge fields. As the characteristic feature of the canonical transformation formalism, this amended generating function also provides the transformation law for the conjugate fields and for the Hamiltonian. This way, we directly encounter the Hamiltonian representation of the well-established U(N) gauge theory. Yet, by *not* requiring the gauge field momentum tensor to be skew-symmetric, an additional term emerges that is related to the connection coefficients ("Christoffel symbols") of Riemannian geometry. Treating these coefficients in complete analogy to the gauge fields, we set up a second amended generating function that also describes the transformation law of the Christoffel symbols. The complete set of the subsequent canonical transformation rules for the base fields, the gauge fields, the connection coefficients, and the respective canonical conjugate fields then provides us with a second amended Hamiltonian that is *form-invariant* in all its constituents.

The set of canonical field equations emerging from this gauge-invariant Hamiltonian now yields an additional set of canonical equations for the Riemann curvature tensor, with the gauge fields acting as source terms. The related equation of motion for the *curvature scalar* R is shown to be compatible with the corresponding equation for a Proca system in the case of *static* gauge fields.

In the field equation for the gauge fields, the Ricci tensor then emerges as a *mass factor*. Thus, in our description, the gauge fields engender the curvature, whereas the curvature acts as the mass factor of the gauge fields. Hence, general relativity is incorporated in a natural way into the fruitful concept of requiring local gauge invariance of our physical system.

2. Extended Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_1$ in the realm of classical field theory

2.1. Variational principle, extended set of Euler-Lagrange field equations

The Lagrangian description of the dynamics of a continuous system (e.g. José and Saletan 1998) is based on the Lagrangian density function $\mathcal{L}$ that is supposed to convey the complete information on the given physical system. In a first-order field theory, the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is defined to depend on $I = 1, \ldots, N$ — possibly interacting — fields $\phi^I(\boldsymbol{x})$, on the vector of independent variables $\boldsymbol{x}$, and on the first derivatives of the fields ϕ^I with respect to the independent variables, i.e., on the covariant vectors (1-forms)

$$\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial ct}, \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial z} \right) \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial x^\mu} \right).$$

The Euler-Lagrange field equations are then obtained as the zero of the variation δS of the action integral

$$S = \int \mathcal{L}\left(\phi^I, \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{x} \right) \mathrm{d}^4 x \tag{1}$$

as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial x^j} \right)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^I} = 0. \tag{2}$$

In analogy to the extended formalism of point mechanics (Struckmeier 2005, Struckmeier 2009), we can directly cast the action integral from Eq. (1) into a more general form by *decoupling* its integration measure from a possibly explicit $\boldsymbol{x}$-dependence of the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$

$$S = \int_{R'} \mathcal{L}\left(\phi^I, \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{x} \right) \det \Lambda \, \mathrm{d}^4 y. \tag{3}$$

Herein, $\det \Lambda \neq 0$ stands for the determinant of the Jacobi matrix $\Lambda = (\Lambda^\mu_{\;\nu'})$ that is associated with a regular transformation $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}$ of the independent variables

$$\Lambda = (\Lambda^\mu_{\;\nu'}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial y^0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial y^3} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x^3}{\partial y^0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x^3}{\partial y^3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det \Lambda = \frac{\partial (x^0, \ldots, x^3)}{\partial (y^0, \ldots, y^3)} \neq 0$$

$$\Lambda^\mu_{\;\nu'}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{\partial x^\mu(\boldsymbol{y})}{\partial y^\nu}, \qquad \Lambda^{\mu'}_{\;\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\partial y^\mu(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x^\nu}, \qquad \Lambda^\alpha_{\;\nu'} \Lambda^{\mu'}_{\;\alpha} = \Lambda^\mu_{\;\alpha'} \Lambda^{\alpha'}_{\;\nu} = \delta^\mu_\nu. \tag{4}$$

Here, the prime indicates the location of the new independent variables, y^ν. As this transformation constitutes a mapping of the space-time metric, we refer to the $\Lambda^\mu_{\nu'}$ as the *space-time distortion coefficients*. The integrand of Eq. (3) can be thought of as defining an *extended* Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_1$,

$$\mathcal{L}_1\left(\phi^I(\boldsymbol{y}),\frac{\partial\phi^I(\boldsymbol{y})}{\partial\boldsymbol{y}},\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{y}),\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{y})}{\partial\boldsymbol{y}}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(\phi^I(\boldsymbol{y}),\Lambda^{k'}_{\nu}\frac{\partial\phi^I(\boldsymbol{y})}{\partial y^k},\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)\det\Lambda. \quad (5)$$

With regard to the argument list of $\mathcal{L}_1$, the now *dependent* variables $x^0,\ldots,x^3$ can be regarded as an *extension* of the set of fields $\phi^I, I=1,\ldots,N$. In other words, the $x^\mu(\boldsymbol{y})$ are treated on equal footing with the fields $\phi^I(\boldsymbol{y})$. In terms of the extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$, the action integral over d^4y from Eq. (3) is converted into an integral over an *autonomous* Lagrangian, hence over a Lagrangian that does not *explicitly* depend on its independent variables y^ν,

$$S=\int_{R'}\mathcal{L}_1\left(\phi^I(\boldsymbol{y}),\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial\boldsymbol{y}},\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{y}),\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{x}}{\partial\boldsymbol{y}}\right)\mathrm{d}^4y. \quad (6)$$

As this action integral has exactly the form of the initial one from Eq. (1), the Euler-Lagrange field equations emerging from the variation of Eq. (6) take on form of Eq. (2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^j}\right)}-\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\phi^I}=0,\qquad\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^j}\right)}-\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial x^\mu}=0. \quad (7)$$

With the ϕ^I embodying scalar fields, the derivatives $\partial\phi^I/\partial x^\mu$ define a covariant vector for each field $I=1,\ldots,N$. Provided that the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ represents a *Lorentz scalar*, hence $\mathcal{L}_1$ a scalar density, then the form of the Euler-Lagrange equations (7) is maintained under transformations of the space-time metric.

If the Lagrangians $\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}_1$ are to describe the dynamics of a *vector field* a^μ in place of a scalar field ϕ^I, then the Euler-Lagrange equations take on the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial a^\mu}{\partial x^j}\right)}-\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial a^\mu}=0,\qquad\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial a^\mu}{\partial y^j}\right)}-\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial a^\mu}=0. \quad (8)$$

Yet, the derivatives $\partial a^\mu/\partial x^\nu$ do *not* transform as tensors. This means that the Euler-Lagrange equations (8) are not form-invariant under arbitrary transformations of the space-time metric. We must therefore assume our reference system to be a *local inertial frame*, whose metric is given by the Minkowski metric $g_{\mu\nu}\equiv\eta_{\mu\nu}\equiv\mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$. Any field equation that holds in this frame must then finally be converted into a *tensor equation* in order to hold as well in a frame with arbitrary metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. This can always be achieved by converting the partial derivatives of the vector field components a^μ into *covariant derivatives*.

2.2. Equations for the trivial extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$

In oder to show that the conventional Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ description of a dynamical system is compatible with the corresponding description in terms of extended Lagrangians, we must make use of the following identities

$$\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\alpha}=\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\alpha}\qquad\Longrightarrow\qquad\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^\nu}\right)}=\delta^\nu_i\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\alpha}=\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& && \Longrightarrow && \frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}\right)} = \frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^i}\delta^i_\nu\delta^\mu_\alpha = \frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^\nu}\delta^\mu_\alpha \\
& \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\mu} = \delta^\nu_\mu && \Longrightarrow && \frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial y^\beta}\right)} = -\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial y^\beta}{\partial x^\mu} \\
& && \Longrightarrow && \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\mu}\right) = -\frac{\partial^2 x^k}{\partial y^i\partial y^j}\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\mu} \\
& \frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\right)} = \frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\right)}\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\right)} && = -\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\alpha} = -\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\alpha} && \\
& \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial\det\Lambda}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^i}\right)} = \delta^\nu_\mu\det\Lambda && \Longleftrightarrow && \frac{\partial\det\Lambda}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\right)} = \frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}\det\Lambda \\
& \frac{\partial\det\Lambda}{\partial y^\mu} = \frac{\partial^2 x^i}{\partial y^j\partial y^\mu}\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\,\det\Lambda && \Longrightarrow && \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\mu}\det\Lambda\right) \equiv 0,
\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

with the last two lines following directly from the definition of the determinant.

The correlation (5) of the extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$ and conventional Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ emerges from the requirement of Eq. (3) to yield the identical action S, hence to describe the same physical system. If we are given a given conventional Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ and set up the extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}\det\Lambda$ by merely multiplying $\mathcal{L}$ with $\det\Lambda$, then $\mathcal{L}_1$ is referred to as a *trivial extended Lagrangian*. This correlation is readily shown to induce an *identity* that holds for any trivial extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}\det\Lambda$. As $\det\Lambda$ only depends on the space-time distortion coefficients $\Lambda^\mu_{\nu'}$, the derivatives of the trivial $\mathcal{L}_1$ from Eq. (5) with respect to its arguments are then

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\phi^I} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi^I}\det\Lambda, \qquad \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial x^\mu} = \left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\mu}\right|_{\text{expl}}\det\Lambda, \tag{10}$$

where the notation "expl" indicates the *explicit* dependence of the conventional Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ on the x^μ, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^\nu}\right)} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda \\
\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\right)} &= \mathcal{L}\,\frac{\partial\det\Lambda}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\right)} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\right)}\det\Lambda \\
&= \mathcal{L}\,\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}\det\Lambda - \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda \\
&= \left(\delta^i_\mu\mathcal{L} - \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\mu}\right)\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda \\
&= -t_\mu^{\;i}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda = -t_i^{\;\nu}(\boldsymbol{y})\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\mu}\det\Lambda
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\tilde{t}_{\mu}{}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\frac{\partial y^{\nu}}{\partial x^{i}} = -\tilde{t}_{i}{}^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{y})\,\frac{\partial y^{i}}{\partial x^{\mu}}, \tag{11}$$

with $t_{\mu}{}^{\nu}$ denoting the energy-momentum tensor, and $\tilde{t}_{\mu}{}^{\nu}$ the corresponding *tensor density* at the same space-time location

$$t_{\mu}{}^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right)}\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}\mathcal{L}, \qquad \tilde{t}_{\mu}{}^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = t_{\mu}{}^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x})\det\Lambda. \tag{12}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{i}}\right)}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{i}} &= \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}\mathcal{L}\det\Lambda - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right)}\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\det\Lambda = -t_{\mu}{}^{\nu}\det\Lambda \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)}\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{\mu}} &= \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}\mathcal{L}_1 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{i}}\right)}\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\nu}}{\partial x^{i}}\det\Lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Summing Eqs. (11) and (13), we finally find for the trivial $\mathcal{L}_1$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)}\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\mu}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)}\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{\mu}} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}\mathcal{L}_1. \tag{14}$$

In the derivation of Eq. (13), we have made use of the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ is a *conventional* Lagrangian, i.e. a Lagrangian that depends on the space-time distortion coefficients $\Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu'}$ only *indirectly* via the reparameterization condition (5) applied to its velocities,

$$\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \Lambda^{\alpha'}_{\mu}\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}.$$

Defining the extended energy-momentum tensor similarly to the conventional one from Eq. (12)

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu}{}^{\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)}\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\mu}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)}\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial y^{\mu}} - \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}\mathcal{L}_1, \tag{15}$$

we thus have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu}{}^{\nu} = 0.$$

For a trivial extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$, all elements of the extended energy-momentum tensor (15) thus always vanish.

For a given conventional Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$, the related trivial extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}\det\Lambda$ is a homogeneous function of degree 4 in the “velocities”, since for any $k \in \mathrm{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&k\,\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{j}}\,\frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial y^{j}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \\
&\mathcal{L}_1\left(\phi^{I}, k\,\frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\nu}}, x^{\mu}, k\,\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right) = \mathcal{L}\left(\phi^{I}, \frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{\mu}}, x^{\mu}\right)\det(k\Lambda) \\
&\qquad = \mathcal{L}\left(\phi^{I}, \frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial x^{\mu}}, x^{\mu}\right)k^{4}\det\Lambda \\
&\qquad = k^{4}\mathcal{L}_1\left(\phi^{I}, \frac{\partial \phi^{I}}{\partial y^{\nu}}, x^{\mu}, \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In that particular case, Eq. (14) represents the Euler *identity* for homogeneous functions, which is automatically satisfied owing to the construction of $\mathcal{L}_1$. Then, the left-hand side Euler-Lagrange equation from Eq. (7) is equivalent to the conventional Euler-Lagrange equation (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial \phi^I} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^j}\right)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}\right)\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\left(\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda\right)}_{\equiv 0} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}\right)\det\Lambda \overset{\text{Eq. (10)}}{=} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^I}\det\Lambda \\
\Longrightarrow \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^I} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial x^i}\right)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side Euler-Lagrange equation from Eq. (7) does not provide any information on the dynamics of the space-time distortion coefficients. This is what we expect as a conventional Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ cannot describe the dynamics of the space-time geometry. Yet, this equation quantifies the divergence of the μ-th column of the energy-momentum tensor due to an explicit dependence of $\mathcal{L}$ on x^μ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial x^\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^j}\right)} &= -\frac{\partial t_\mu^{\ i}}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda - t_\mu^{\ i}\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\left(\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda\right)}_{\equiv 0} \\
&= -\frac{\partial t_\mu^{\ i}}{\partial x^i}\det\Lambda \overset{\text{Eq. (10)}}{=} \left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\mu}\right|_{\text{expl}}\det\Lambda \\
\Longrightarrow \qquad \left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\mu}\right|_{\text{expl}} &= -\frac{\partial t_\mu^{\ i}}{\partial x^i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Thus, if $\mathcal{L}$ has an explicit dependence on the independent variable x^μ, then external forces are present and the four-force density is non-zero.

2.3. Non-trivial extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$

If $\mathcal{L}_1$ is the primary function to describe our physical system, then an equivalent conventional Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1/\det\Lambda$ generally does not exist as $\mathcal{L}$ is supposed to not depend on the space-time distortion coefficients $\Lambda^\mu_{\nu'}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_1$ is no more homogeneous in the y^ν-derivatives of the ϕ^I and x^μ in general, hence the condition (14) does not hold for non-trivial extended Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_1$. The Euler-Lagrange equations (7) involving the space-time distortion coefficients then determine the dynamics of these coefficients. In this paper, we only consider trivial extended Lagrangians.

3. Extended Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_1$ in classical field theory

3.1. Extended canonical field equations

For a covariant Hamiltonian description, we must define momentum fields π_I^μ and $\tilde{\pi}_I^\mu$ as the *dual quantities* of the derivatives of the fields

$$\pi_I^\mu(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\mu}\right)}, \qquad \tilde{\pi}_I^\nu(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^\nu}\right)}. \tag{17}$$

According to Eqs. (11), the momentum fields $\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu$ emerging from the extended Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_1$ transform as

$$\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu(\boldsymbol{y}) = \pi_I^j(\boldsymbol{x})\,\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^j}\,\det\Lambda \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \pi_I^\nu(\boldsymbol{x}) = \tilde{\pi}_I^j(\boldsymbol{y})\,\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial y^j}\,\frac{1}{\det\Lambda}. \tag{18}$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu = \pi_I^\nu\det\Lambda, \qquad \tilde{\pi}_I^\nu(\boldsymbol{y}) = \tilde{\pi}_I^j(\boldsymbol{x})\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^j}, \qquad \pi_I^\nu(\boldsymbol{y}) = \pi_I^j(\boldsymbol{x})\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^j},$$

which shows that $\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu$ represent *tensor densities*, as indicated by the tilde, whereas the π_I^ν transform as *absolute* tensors.

Similar to the momentum field $\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu(\boldsymbol{y})$ constituting the dual counterpart of the Lagrangian variable $\partial\phi^I(\boldsymbol{y})/\partial y^\nu$, we define the canonical variable $\tilde{t}_\mu{}^\nu$ as the dual quantity to $\partial x^\mu(\boldsymbol{y})/\partial y^\nu$. Clearly, $\tilde{t}_\mu{}^\nu$ follows similarly to Eq. (17) from the partial derivative of the extended Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_1$ with respect to $\partial x^\mu/\partial y^\nu$,

$$\tilde{t}_\mu{}^\nu = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\right)} = \tilde{t}_j{}^\nu(\boldsymbol{y})\,\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\mu} = \tilde{t}_\mu{}^j(\boldsymbol{x})\,\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^j}. \tag{19}$$

We can now introduce both, the Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}$ and the extended Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}_1$ as the covariant Legendre transforms of the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ and of the extended Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_1$, respectively

$$\mathcal{H}(\phi^I,\pi_I^\nu,x^\mu) \qquad = \pi_I^j\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^j} - \mathcal{L}\left(\phi^I,\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\mu},x^\mu\right) \tag{20}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\phi^I,\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu,x^\mu,\tilde{t}_\mu{}^\nu) = \tilde{\pi}_I^j\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^j} - \tilde{t}_i{}^j\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j} - \mathcal{L}_1\left(\phi^I,\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^\nu},x^\mu,\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\right). \tag{21}$$

The trivial extended Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_1$ in Eq. (21) is related to $\mathcal{L}$ according to Eq. (5). Replacing then $\mathcal{L}$ according to the Legendre transformation from Eq. (20) by $\mathcal{H}$, we obtain the correlation of extended and conventional Hamiltonian densities,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_1 &= \tilde{\pi}_I^j\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^j} - \tilde{t}_i{}^j\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j} - \mathcal{L}\det\Lambda \\ &= \pi_I^k\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^j}\det\Lambda - \tilde{t}_i{}^j\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j} - \pi_I^j\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^j}\det\Lambda + \mathcal{H}\det\Lambda.\end{aligned}$$

As the first and the third term on the right-hand side cancel, the trivial extended Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}_1$ is related to the conventional Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\phi^I,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_I,\boldsymbol{x},\tilde{\boldsymbol{t}}_\mu) = -\tilde{t}_i{}^j\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j} + \mathcal{H}(\phi^I,\boldsymbol{\pi}_I,\boldsymbol{x})\det\Lambda. \tag{22}$$

According to Eq. (11), the function $\partial\mathcal{L}_1/\partial(\partial x^\mu/\partial y^\nu)$ is related to the component $t_\mu^{\;\nu}$ of the energy-momentum tensor. Thus, $\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;\nu}$ as the dual counterpart of $\partial x^\mu/\partial y^\nu$ is

$$\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;\nu} = t_\mu^{\;j}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^j}\,\det\Lambda \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad t_\mu^{\;\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \tilde{t}_\mu^{\;j}\,\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial y^j}\,\frac{1}{\det\Lambda}. \tag{23}$$

Expressed in terms of the *scalar* function $t_j^{\;j}(\boldsymbol{x})$, the trivial extended Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}_1$ from Eq. (22) is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \big(\mathcal{H} - t_j^{\;j}(\boldsymbol{x})\big)\det\Lambda. \tag{24}$$

According to Eq. (17) and the conventional set of Euler-Lagrange equations (2), the conventional Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, defined in Eq. (20), satisfies the conventional set of covariant canonical equations

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\pi_I^\mu} = \frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial x^\mu}, \qquad \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\phi^I} = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi^I} = -\frac{\partial\pi_I^j}{\partial x^j}, \qquad \left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\mu}\right|_{\text{expl}} = -\left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\mu}\right|_{\text{expl}}. \tag{25}$$

We can now check whether the so-defined extended Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the extended set of the canonical equations. To this end, we calculate the partial derivatives of $\mathcal{H}_1$ from Eq. (22) with respect to all canonical variables,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_1}{\partial\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\pi_J^k}\frac{\partial\pi_J^k}{\partial\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu}\det\Lambda = \frac{\partial\phi^J}{\partial x^k}\delta_J^I\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^\nu} = \frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^\nu}\\
\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_1}{\partial\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;\nu}} &= \frac{\partial t_i^{\;i}}{\partial\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;\nu}}\det\Lambda = -\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial\tilde{t}_i^{\;j}}{\partial\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;\nu}} = -\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j}\delta_\nu^j\delta_i^\mu = -\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}\\
\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_1}{\partial\phi^I} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\phi^I}\det\Lambda = -\frac{\partial\pi_I^k}{\partial x^k}\det\Lambda = -\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial\tilde{\pi}_I^j}{\partial x^k} = -\frac{\partial\tilde{\pi}_I^j}{\partial y^j}\\
\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_1}{\partial x^\mu} &= -\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial x^\mu} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^j}\right)} = \frac{\partial\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;j}}{\partial y^j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The extended Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}_1$ thus indeed satisfies the extended set of canonical equations. Obviously, the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ — through its ϕ^I and x^μ dependencies — only determines the *divergences* $\partial\tilde{\pi}_I^j/\partial y^j$ and $\partial\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;j}/\partial y^j$ of both the canonical momentum vectors and the columns of the energy-momentum tensor density but *not* the individual components $\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu$ and $\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;\nu}$. Consequently, the $\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu$ and $\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;\nu}$ are only determined by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ up to divergence-free functions. This freedom can be exploited to convert both, the covariant and the contravariant representations of the energy-momentum tensor into a symmetric form.

The general form of the extended set of canonical equations (26) yields for the trivial extended Hamiltonian (22) an *identity* for the equation for the space-time distortion coefficients

$$\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu} = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_1}{\partial\tilde{t}_\mu^{\;\nu}} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\nu}. \tag{27}$$

This means that a trivial extended Hamiltonian does not *determine* the dynamics of the space-time geometry. Rather, it merely *allows* for a variation of the space-time metric.

The conjugate field equation quantifies the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor density $\tilde{t}_{\mu}^{\ \nu}$ from the x^{μ}-dependent terms of the trivial extended Hamiltonian (22)

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{t}_{\mu}^{\ \alpha}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_1}{\partial x^{\mu}}=\left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right|_{\mathrm{expl}}\det\Lambda. \tag{28}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\tilde{t}_{\mu}^{\ \alpha}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}&=\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\left(t_{\mu}^{\ \beta}\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\det\Lambda\right)=\frac{\partial t_{\mu}^{\ \beta}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\det\Lambda+t_{\mu}^{\ \beta}\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\det\Lambda\right)}_{=0}\\&=\frac{\partial t_{\mu}^{\ \alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\det\Lambda,\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial t_{\mu}^{\ \alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}=\left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right|_{\mathrm{expl}},$$

in agreement with Eqs. (16) and (25). The trivial extended Hamiltonian (22) thus simply reproduces the field equations of the conventional covariant Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ while allowing for arbitrary changes of the space-time metric. This property will be crucial for setting up an extended canonical transformation theory where mappings of the space-time metric are made possible in addition to the usual mappings of the fields and their canonical conjugates.

The action integral from Eq. (6) can be equivalently expressed in terms of the extended Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}_1$ by applying the Legendre transform (21)

$$S=\int_{R'}\left(\tilde{\pi}_I^j\frac{\partial\phi^I}{\partial y^j}-\tilde{t}_i^{\ j}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j}-\mathcal{H}_1\left(\phi^I,\tilde{\pi}_I^{\nu},x^{\mu},\tilde{t}_{\mu}^{\ \nu}\right)\right)\mathrm{d}^4y. \tag{29}$$

This representation of the action integral forms the basis on which extended canonical transformations will be defined in Sect. 4.

In case that the extended Lagrangian describes the dynamics of a (covariant) vector field, a_{μ}, rather than the dynamics of a set of scalar fields, ϕ^I, the canonical momentum fields are to be defined as

$$\tilde{p}^{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y})=\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_1}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial a_{\mu}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)}. \tag{30}$$

From the transformation rule for a covariant vector field

$$a_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})=a_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{y})\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}},$$

the rule for its partial derivatives follows as

$$\frac{\partial a_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x^{\nu}}=\frac{\partial a_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{y})}{\partial y^{\beta}}\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}}+a_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{y})\frac{\partial^2 y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}\partial x^{\nu}}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial\left(\frac{\partial a_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right)}{\partial\left(\frac{\partial a_{\xi}(\boldsymbol{y})}{\partial y^{\eta}}\right)}=\delta_{\alpha}^{\xi}\delta_{\beta}^{\eta}\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}}=\frac{\partial y^{\xi}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\nu}}.$$

The canonical momentum fields $\tilde{p}^{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y})$ then transform according to

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{p}^{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y}) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L} \det \Lambda}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial a_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x^\beta}\right)} \frac{\partial \left(\frac{\partial a_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x^\beta}\right)}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial a_\mu(\boldsymbol{y})}{\partial y^\nu}\right)} \\
&= p^{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \frac{\partial y^\mu}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\beta} \det \Lambda \\
&= p^{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y}) \, \det \Lambda .
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to Eq. (18), the momentum fields $\tilde{p}^{\mu\nu}$ and $p^{\mu\nu}$ represent the "extended" and the conventional conjugates of a vector field a_μ.

3.2. Extended energy-momentum tensor

The Hamiltonian formulation of the extended version of the energy-momentum tensor from Eqs. (15) is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu^{\ \ \nu} = \mathcal{H}_1 \, \delta_\mu^\nu + \tilde{\pi}_I^\nu \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^\mu} - \delta_\mu^\nu \, \tilde{\pi}_I^k \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^k} - \tilde{t}_i^{\ \nu} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^\mu} + \delta_\mu^\nu \, \tilde{t}_i^{\ k} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^k} . \tag{31}$$

Inserting into (31) the definitions (17) and (19) of the canonical conjugates $\tilde{\pi}_I^\nu$ and $\tilde{t}_\mu^\nu$ of the variables ϕ^I and x^μ, respectively, the identity (14) that holds for the trivial extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$ is expressed in terms of $\mathcal{T}_\mu^{\ \ \nu}$ with $\mathcal{H}_1$ the trivial extended Hamiltonian from Eq. (24) as

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu^{\ \ \nu} = 0.$$

For the trivial extended Hamiltonian, all elements of the extended energy-momentum tensor (31) thus always vanish, which is in accordance with the corresponding Lagrangian formulation from Eq. (15).

Making use of the extended set of canonical field equations (26), we can directly verify that the divergence of the extended energy-momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}_\mu^{\ \ \nu}(\boldsymbol{y})$ vanishes for all indexes μ

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_\mu^{\ \ j}(\boldsymbol{y})}{\partial y^j} &= \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_1}{\partial \phi^I} \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_1}{\partial \tilde{\pi}_I^i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\pi}_I^i}{\partial y^j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_1}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_1}{\partial \tilde{t}_i^{\ k}} \frac{\partial \tilde{t}_i^{\ k}}{\partial y^j} \right) \delta_\mu^j \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial \tilde{\pi}_I^j}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^\mu} + \cancel{\tilde{\pi}_I^j \frac{\partial^2 \phi^I}{\partial y^\mu \partial y^j}} - \delta_\mu^j \frac{\partial \tilde{\pi}_I^k}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^k} - \cancel{\delta_\mu^j \tilde{\pi}_I^k \frac{\partial^2 \phi^I}{\partial y^k \partial y^j}} \\
&\quad - \frac{\partial \tilde{t}_i^{\ j}}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^\mu} - \cancel{\tilde{t}_i^{\ j} \frac{\partial^2 x^i}{\partial y^\mu \partial y^j}} + \delta_\mu^j \frac{\partial \tilde{t}_i^{\ k}}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^k} + \cancel{\delta_\mu^j \tilde{t}_i^{\ k} \frac{\partial^2 x^i}{\partial y^k \partial y^j}} \\
&= -\frac{\partial \tilde{\pi}_I^k}{\partial y^k} \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^\mu} + \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\pi}_I^i}{\partial y^\mu} + \frac{\partial \tilde{t}_i^{\ k}}{\partial y^k} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^\mu} - \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^k} \frac{\partial \tilde{t}_i^{\ k}}{\partial y^\mu} \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial \tilde{\pi}_I^j}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^\mu} - \frac{\partial \tilde{\pi}_I^k}{\partial y^\mu} \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^k} - \frac{\partial \tilde{t}_i^{\ j}}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^\mu} + \frac{\partial \tilde{t}_i^{\ k}}{\partial y^\mu} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^k} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the extended description in terms of $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_1$, energy and momentum densities are formally always preserved.

4. Extended canonical transformations

4.1. Generating function of type $\mathcal{F}_1$

We may set up the condition for canonical transformations that include a mapping $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto \boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{y})$ of the parameterizations of source and target systems, $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{X}$, respectively, with y^μ being the common independent variables of both systems. We again require the action integral — this time in the formulation of Eqs. (6) and (29) — to be conserved under the action of the transformation. I.e., its integrand is determined up to the divergence of a 4-vector function $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_1(\phi^I, x^\mu, \Phi^I, X^\mu)$ of the sets of original fields $\phi^I(\boldsymbol{y})$ and transformed fields $\Phi^I(\boldsymbol{y})$ in conjunction with the original variables $x^\mu(\boldsymbol{y})$ and transformed variables, $X(\boldsymbol{y})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1' + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^j}{\partial y^j} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \\
&\tilde{\pi}_I^j \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^j} - \tilde{t}_i^{\,j} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j} - \mathcal{H}_1\left(\phi^I, \tilde{\pi}_I^\nu, x^\mu, \tilde{t}_\mu^{\ \nu}\right) \\
&\quad = \tilde{\Pi}_I^j \frac{\partial \Phi^I}{\partial y^j} - \tilde{T}_i^{\,j} \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial y^j} - \mathcal{H}_1'\left(\Phi^I, \tilde{\Pi}_I^\nu, X^\mu, \tilde{T}_\mu^{\ \nu}\right) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^j}{\partial y^j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Since the independent variables y^μ are *not* transformed, we can set up at any space-time location $\boldsymbol{y}$ a local inertial (geodesic) coordinate system, in which the tensor form of the divergence of a function $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_1(\phi^I, \Phi^I, x^\mu, X^\mu)$ is just the ordinary divergence

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^j}{\partial y^j} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^j}{\partial \phi^I} \frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^j}{\partial \Phi^I} \frac{\partial \Phi^I}{\partial y^j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^j}{\partial X^i} \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial y^j}. \tag{33}$$

Comparing the coefficients of Eqs. (32) and (33), we find the *extended* local coordinate representation of the field transformation rules induced by the extended generating function $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_1(\phi^I, \Phi^I, x^\mu, X^\mu)$

$$\tilde{\pi}_I^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\mu}{\partial \phi^I}, \quad \tilde{\Pi}_I^\mu = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\mu}{\partial \Phi^I}, \quad \tilde{t}_\nu^{\ \mu} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\mu}{\partial x^\nu}, \quad \tilde{T}_\nu^{\ \mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\mu}{\partial X^\nu}, \quad \mathcal{H}_1' = \mathcal{H}_1. \tag{34}$$

The *value* of the extended Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ is thus conserved under extended canonical transformations. Hence, the new extended Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}_1'(\Phi^I, \tilde{\Pi}_I, X^\mu, \tilde{T}_\mu^{\ \nu})$ is obtained by simply expressing the original extended Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1(\phi^I, \tilde{\pi}_I^\nu, x^\mu, \tilde{t}_\mu^{\ \nu})$ in terms of the transformed fields Φ^I, $\tilde{\Pi}_I^\nu$, the transformed space-time location X^μ, and the transformed energy-momentum tensor density, $\tilde{T}_\mu^{\ \nu}$.

The transformation rule for the conventional Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}'$ is obtained from $\mathcal{H}_1' = \mathcal{H}_1$ by inserting the definition (22) of the extended Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}' \det \Lambda' - \tilde{T}_i^{\,j} \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial y^j} = \mathcal{H} \det \Lambda - \tilde{t}_i^{\,j} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j}, \quad \det \Lambda' = \frac{\partial(X^0, \ldots, X^3)}{\partial(y^0, \ldots, y^3)}. \tag{35}$$

4.2. Generating function of type $\mathcal{F}_2$

The generating function of an extended canonical transformation can alternatively be expressed in terms of a function $\mathcal{F}_2$ of the original fields ϕ^I and the original space-time coordinates, x^μ and of the new *conjugate* fields $\tilde{\Pi}_I^\mu$ and the new energy-momentum tensor density $\tilde{T}_\nu{}^\mu$. In order to derive the pertaining transformation rules, we perform the extended Legendre transformation

$$\mathcal{F}_2^\mu(\phi^I, \tilde{\Pi}_I^\nu, x^\mu, \tilde{T}_\nu{}^\mu) = \mathcal{F}_1^\mu(\phi^I, \Phi^I, x^\mu, X^\mu) + \Phi^I \tilde{\Pi}_I^\mu - X^j \tilde{T}_j{}^\mu. \tag{36}$$

The subsequent transformation rules are obtained as

$$\tilde{\pi}_I^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^\mu}{\partial \phi^I}, \quad \Phi^I \delta_\nu^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^\mu}{\partial \tilde{\Pi}_I^\nu}, \quad \tilde{t}_\nu{}^\mu = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^\mu}{\partial x^\nu}, \quad X^\alpha \delta_\nu^\mu = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^\mu}{\partial \tilde{T}_\alpha{}^\nu}, \quad \mathcal{H}_1' = \mathcal{H}_1, \tag{37}$$

which are equivalent to the set (34) by virtue of the Legendre transformation (36) if $\det(\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_1^\mu / \partial\phi^K \partial\Phi^L) \neq 0$ for all matrices $\mu = 0, \ldots, 3$, in conjunction with the conditions $\det \Lambda \neq 0$ and $\det \Lambda' \neq 0$.

4.3. Invariance of the extended energy-momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu$ under extended canonical transformations

Inserting the transformation rules for the original variables pertaining to the generating function of type $\mathcal{F}_1$ from Eq. (34) into the Hamiltonian representation of the extended energy-momentum tensor (31), one finds

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu = \mathcal{H}_1\, \delta_\mu^\nu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\nu}{\partial \phi^I}\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^\mu} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\nu}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^\mu} - \delta_\mu^\nu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^k}{\partial \phi^I}\frac{\partial \phi^I}{\partial y^k} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^k}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^k} \right).$$

The derivatives of $\mathcal{F}_1$ with respect to the original variables ϕ^I and x^i can be converted into derivatives with respect to the transformed variables Φ^I and X^i according to Eq. (33), which yields with $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1'$

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu = \mathcal{H}_1'\, \delta_\mu^\nu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\nu}{\partial y^\mu} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\nu}{\partial \Phi^I}\frac{\partial \Phi^I}{\partial y^\mu} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\nu}{\partial X^i}\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial y^\mu} - \delta_\mu^\nu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^k}{\partial y^k} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^k}{\partial \Phi^I}\frac{\partial \Phi^I}{\partial y^k} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^k}{\partial X^i}\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial y^k} \right).$$

Inserting now the transformation rules for the new variables from Eq. (34), this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu &= \mathcal{H}_1'\, \delta_\mu^\nu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\nu}{\partial y^\mu} + \tilde{\Pi}_I^\nu \frac{\partial \Phi^I}{\partial y^\mu} - \tilde{T}_i{}^\nu \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial y^\mu} - \delta_\mu^\nu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^k}{\partial y^k} + \tilde{\Pi}_I^k \frac{\partial \Phi^I}{\partial y^k} - \tilde{T}_i{}^k \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial y^k} \right) \\
&= (\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu)' + S_\mu{}^\nu, \qquad S_\mu{}^\nu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\nu}{\partial y^\mu} - \delta_\mu^\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^k}{\partial y^k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The *value* of the extended energy-momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu$ is thus maintained under the canonical transformation generated by $\mathcal{F}_1^\nu$ up to the tensor $S_\mu{}^\nu$. The divergence of $S_\mu{}^\nu$ obviously vanishes identically

$$\frac{\partial S_\mu{}^j}{\partial y^j} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_1^j}{\partial y^\mu \partial y^j} - \delta_\mu^j \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_1^k}{\partial y^k \partial y^j} \equiv 0.$$

As stated beforehand, the energy momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu$ is determined by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ only up to divergence-free functions. Therefore, we can always add the divergence-free tensor $S_\mu{}^\nu$ to $(\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu)'$ without modifying its physical significance. The transformation rule for the extended energy-momentum tensor is then finally given by

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu = (\mathcal{T}_\mu{}^\nu)',$$

which exhibits the consistency of the canonical transformation formalism.

5. General local U(N) gauge transformation in the extended canonical formalism

5.1. External gauge field

A given dynamical system whose dynamics follows from a conventional Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}$ is described equivalently in the extended formalism by the trivial extended Hamiltonian, defined by Eq. (22),

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}\det\Lambda - \tilde{t}_{\alpha}^{\;\beta}\,\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}, \tag{38}$$

with $\tilde{t}_{\alpha}^{\;\beta}$ the energy-momentum tensor density and $-\partial x^{\alpha}/\partial y^{\beta}$ its dual counterpart from Eq. (19). We assume the extended Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ to be *form-invariant* under the *global* gauge transformation

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = U\boldsymbol{\phi}, \qquad \overline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\,U^{\dagger}.$$

In component notation with complex numbers $u_{IK} \in \mathbb{C}$, this means

$$\Phi_I = u_{IK}\phi_K, \qquad \overline{\Phi}_I = \overline{\phi}_K\overline{u}_{KI}, \tag{39}$$

with U a *unitary* matrix in order to warrant the norm $\overline{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\boldsymbol{\phi}$ to be invariant,

$$UU^{\dagger} = U^{\dagger}U = \mathbb{1}, \qquad \overline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\,U^{\dagger}U\boldsymbol{\phi} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\boldsymbol{\phi},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{JK}\,\overline{u}_{KI} = \delta_{JI}, \qquad\qquad \overline{u}_{JK}\,u_{KI} = \delta_{JI}, \\ &\overline{\Phi}_I\Phi_I = \overline{\phi}_J\overline{u}_{JI}\,u_{IK}\phi_K = \overline{\phi}_J\,\delta_{JK}\phi_K = \overline{\phi}_J\phi_J. \end{aligned}$$

The transformation (39) of the fields is generated by the following extended generating function of type $\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu} &= \tilde{\overline{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}}^{\mu}U\,\boldsymbol{\phi} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\,U^{\dagger}\,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}^{\mu} - \tilde{T}_{\alpha}^{\;\mu}\,g^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &= \tilde{\overline{\Pi}}^{\mu}_{K}u_{KJ}\,\phi_J + \overline{\phi}_K\,\overline{u}_{KJ}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{J} - \tilde{T}_{\alpha}^{\;\mu}\,g^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The function $g^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x})$ defines an identical transformation of the space-time event $x^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{y}) = X^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{y})$ for $g^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = x^{\mu}$ and, correspondingly, a non-trivial mapping $x^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto X^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{y})$ otherwise.

For the particular case of $U = U(\boldsymbol{x})$ being explicitly x^{μ}-dependent, the generating function (40) defines a *local* gauge transformation. We shall work of the condition for the dynamical system to be invariant as well under arbitrary *local* gauge transformations. For the particular generating function (40), the general transformation rules from Eq. (37) give rise of the specific rules

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\overline{\pi}}^{\mu}_{I} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial\phi_I} = \tilde{\overline{\Pi}}^{\mu}_{K}u_{KJ}\,\delta_{JI}, & \overline{\Phi}_I\delta_{\nu}^{\mu} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial\tilde{\Pi}^{\nu}_{I}} = \overline{\phi}_K\overline{u}_{KJ}\,\delta_{JI}\,\delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \\ \tilde{\pi}^{\mu}_{I} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial\overline{\phi}_I} = \delta_{IK}\overline{u}_{KJ}\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{J}, & \Phi_I\delta_{\nu}^{\mu} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}^{\nu}_{I}} = \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}\delta_{IK}u_{KJ}\phi_J \\ X^{\beta}\delta_{\nu}^{\mu} &= -\frac{\partial\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial\tilde{T}_{\beta}^{\;\nu}} = \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}\,\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}\,g^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}), & \mathcal{H}_1' &= \mathcal{H}_1 \\ \tilde{t}_{\nu}^{\;\mu} &= -\frac{\partial\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} = -\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}^{\mu}_{K}\frac{\partial u_{KJ}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\,\phi_J - \overline{\phi}_K\,\frac{\partial\overline{u}_{KJ}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{J} + \tilde{T}_{\alpha}^{\;\mu}\frac{\partial g^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x^{\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

The complete set of transformation rules — all referring to the same space-time event $\boldsymbol{y}$ — is then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}^{\mu}_{I} &= \tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\mu}_{J}\,u_{JI}, \quad \tilde{\pi}^{\mu}_{I} = \overline{u}_{IJ}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{J}, \quad \phi_{I} = \overline{u}_{IJ}\,\Phi_{J}, \quad \overline{\phi}_{I} = \overline{\Phi}_{J}\,u_{JI}\\
\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{I} &= u_{IJ}\,\tilde{\pi}^{\mu}_{J}, \quad \tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\mu}_{I} = \tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}^{\mu}_{J}\,\overline{u}_{JI}, \quad \overline{\Phi}_{I} = \overline{\phi}_{J}\overline{u}_{JI}, \quad \Phi_{I} = u_{IJ}\phi_{J}\\
X^{\nu} &= g^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}),\\
\tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} &= \tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} - \tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\beta}_{K}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\Phi_{J} - \overline{\Phi}_{K}\,u_{KI}\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\beta}_{J}.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The transformation of the energy-momentum tensor densities follows from the corresponding rule of Eqs. (41)

$$\tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} - \tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} = \left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\Phi_{J} - \overline{\Phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}. \tag{42}$$

According to Eq. (35) this means that the *form* of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is not conserved if the u_{KI} depend on the x^{μ}. This implies that the canonical field equations are not invariant under local gauge transformations $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = U(\boldsymbol{x})\,\boldsymbol{\phi}$, which is unphysical. In order to work out the physically correct Hamiltonian $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ that is *form-invariant* under local gauge transformations, we must adjoin the Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ with appropriate terms that compensate the additional terms emerging from the gauge transformation being *local*. Thus, the amended Hamiltonians $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{H}}'$ must be of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{H}}\det\Lambda &= \mathcal{H}\det\Lambda + \mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\phi_{J} - \overline{\phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)a_{KJ\alpha}\\
\bar{\mathcal{H}}'\det\Lambda' &= \mathcal{H}'\det\Lambda' + \mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\Phi_{J} - \overline{\Phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)A_{KJ\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Herein, $q \in \mathrm{R}$ denotes an as yet unspecified real coupling constant. Submitting the *amended* Hamiltonians to the canonical transformation generated by (40), the general rule for conventional Hamiltonians from Eq. (35) yields with Eq. (42)

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}'\det\Lambda' - \bar{\mathcal{H}}\det\Lambda = \tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} - \tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} = \left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\Phi_{J} - \overline{\Phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}'\det\Lambda' - \mathcal{H}\det\Lambda + \left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\Phi_{J} - \overline{\Phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)&\left(\mathrm{i}q\,A_{KJ\alpha} - \frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right)\\
&\overset{!}{=} \left(\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\phi_{J} - \overline{\phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)\mathrm{i}q\,a_{KJ\alpha}\\
&= \left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\Phi_{J} - \overline{\Phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)u_{KI}\,\mathrm{i}q\,a_{IL\beta}\,\overline{u}_{LJ}.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Provided that the original system Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is invariant if expressed in terms of the new fields, then $\mathcal{H}'\det\Lambda' = \mathcal{H}\det\Lambda$. The transformation rule for the gauge fields follows as

$$A_{KJ\mu}(\boldsymbol{y}) = u_{KI}\,a_{IL\mu}(\boldsymbol{y})\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}. \tag{44}$$

It coincides with the conventional transformation rule for gauge fields where the space-time geometry is static by assumption. Under the precondition that the gauge fields transform according to Eq. (44), the form-invariant Hamiltonian $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ is given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}\det\Lambda = \mathcal{H}\det\Lambda + \mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\phi_{J} - \overline{\phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)a_{KJ\alpha}. \tag{45}$$

Due to the fact that the product $b_{KJ\alpha} = \partial u_{KI}/\partial y^{\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}$ is skew-Hermitian in the indexes K, J,

$$\overline{b}_{JK\alpha} = \overline{\frac{\partial u_{JI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\overline{u}_{IK}} = u_{KI}\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ} = -b_{KJ\alpha},$$

the $N \times N$ matrices of 4-vector gauge fields, $\boldsymbol{a}_{KJ}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_{KJ}$, must be Hermitian. With the Hamiltonian (45), the Hermitian $N \times N$ matrix of 4-vector gauge fields $\boldsymbol{a}_{KJ}$ is treated as a set of background fields whose dynamics are not covered. In order to include the dynamics of the fields $\boldsymbol{a}_{KJ}$ into the system's description provided by the Hamiltonian, we must amend the generating function (40) to also describe their transformation rule from Eq. (44).

5.2. *Including the gauge field dynamics under variable space-time metric*

The Hermitian gauge field matrix $\boldsymbol{a}_{IJ}(\boldsymbol{y})$ embodies a set of complex 4-vector fields and hence constitutes on its part a physical quantity. Therefore, its transformation property must also comply with the *canonical transformation rules*. The transformation property of the gauge fields can be described by amending the generating function from Eq. (40) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu} &= \overline{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}}^{\mu} U\,\boldsymbol{\phi} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\,U^{\dagger}\,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}^{\mu} - \tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\mu} g^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}^{\alpha\mu}\left(U\hat{a}_{\alpha}U^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,U^{\dagger}\right) \qquad (46)\\ &= \overline{\tilde{\Pi}}^{\mu}_{K} u_{KJ}\,\phi_J + \overline{\phi}_K\,\overline{u}_{KJ}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{J} - \tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\mu} g^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \tilde{P}^{\alpha\mu}_{JK}\left(u_{KI}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\,\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The functions $\tilde{P}^{\alpha\mu}_{JK}$ contained herein denote formally the canonical conjugate quantities of the transformed gauge field components, $A_{KJ\alpha}$. The additional transformation rules derived from the amended generating function (46) are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} A_{KJ\alpha} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{P}^{\alpha\nu}_{JK}} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\left(u_{KI}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right)\\ \tilde{p}^{\beta\mu}_{NM} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial a_{MN\beta}} = \tilde{P}^{\alpha\mu}_{JK} u_{KI}\,\delta_{IM}\,\delta_{LN}\,\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} = \overline{u}_{NJ}\,\tilde{P}^{\beta\mu}_{JK}\,u_{KM}\\ \tilde{t}_{\nu}{}^{\mu} &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} = -\overline{\tilde{\Pi}}^{\mu}_{K}\frac{\partial u_{KJ}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\,\phi_J - \overline{\phi}_K\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{KJ}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{J} + \tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\mu}\frac{\partial g^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\\ &- \tilde{P}^{\alpha\mu}_{JK}\left[\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + u_{KI}a_{IL\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{LJ}}{\partial x^{\nu}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial x^{\nu}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\right)\overline{u}_{IJ}\right].\end{aligned} \qquad (47)$$

The canonical transformation obviously reproduces the required transformation rule for the gauge fields from Eq. (44) as the amended generating function (46) was *deliberately* constructed accordingly. In contrast to the gauge fields $a_{IJ\nu}$, their canonical momenta, $p^{\nu\mu}_{JI}$ transform *homogeneously*. In order to set up the transformation equation for the Hamiltonians according to Eq. (35), we must calculate from (47) the contraction $\tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\partial x^{\alpha}/\partial y^{\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} - \tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} &= \left(\overline{\tilde{\Pi}}^{\beta}_{K}\Phi_J - \overline{\Phi}_K\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\beta}_{J}\right)\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\\ &+ \tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + u_{KI}a_{IL\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{LJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial^2 u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The second derivative term is *symmetric* in the indexes α and β. We therefore split $\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}$ into a symmetric $\tilde{P}_{JK}^{(\alpha\beta)}$ and a skew-symmetric $\tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}$ part in α and β

$$\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{P}_{JK}^{(\alpha\beta)} + \tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}, \tag{48}$$

where

$$\tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{P}_{JK}^{\beta\alpha}\right), \qquad \tilde{P}_{JK}^{(\alpha\beta)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{P}_{JK}^{\beta\alpha}\right).$$

The product of the second partial derivative term with $\tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}$ vanishes

$$\tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}\,\frac{\partial^2 u_{KI}}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \equiv 0.$$

The transformation of the energy-momentum tensors then splits into three groups of terms

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_\alpha^{\ \beta}\frac{\partial X^\alpha}{\partial y^\beta} - \tilde{t}_\alpha^{\ \beta}\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial y^\beta} &= \left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}_K^\alpha \Phi_J - \overline{\Phi}_K\,\tilde{\Pi}_J^\alpha\right)\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{IJ} \\
&+ \tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}\left(\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\beta}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + u_{KI}a_{IL\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{LJ}}{\partial y^\beta} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^\beta}\right) \\
&+ \tilde{P}_{JK}^{(\alpha\beta)}\left(\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\beta}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + u_{KI}a_{IL\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{LJ}}{\partial y^\beta} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^\beta} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial^2 u_{KI}}{\partial y^\alpha\partial y^\beta}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The u_{KI}-dependence of the terms involving the fields Φ_I and their conjugates, $\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}_I^\mu$, can be replaced according to Eq. (43) by a gauge field dependence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}_K^\alpha \Phi_J - \overline{\Phi}_K\,\tilde{\Pi}_J^\alpha\right)\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{IJ} \\
&= \left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}_K^\alpha \Phi_J - \overline{\Phi}_K\,\tilde{\Pi}_J^\alpha\right)\mathrm{i}q\,A_{KJ\alpha} - \left(\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}_K^\alpha \phi_J - \overline{\phi}_K\,\tilde{\pi}_J^\alpha\right)\mathrm{i}q\,a_{KJ\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the second group, the u_{KI}-dependence of the terms proportional to $\tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\beta\alpha]}$ can be eliminated by means of the transformation rule (44) for the gauge fields, which reads, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\mu} &= \mathrm{i}q\left(A_{KJ\mu}u_{JI} - u_{KJ}\,a_{JI\mu}\right) \\
\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^\mu} &= \mathrm{i}q\left(a_{IK\mu}\,\overline{u}_{KJ} - \overline{u}_{IK}A_{KJ\mu}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In conjunction with the transformation rule for the canonical momenta $\tilde{p}_{JK}^{\nu\mu}$ from Eqs. (47), one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}\left(\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\beta}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + u_{KL}a_{LI\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^\beta} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^\beta}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}\left(-A_{KI\alpha}\,A_{IJ\beta} + \overline{u}_{LJ}u_{KN}\,a_{NI\alpha}\,a_{IL\beta}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{p}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}\,a_{KI\alpha}\,a_{IJ\beta} - \mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{P}_{JK}^{[\alpha\beta]}\,A_{KI\alpha}\,A_{IJ\beta} \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{p}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(a_{KI\alpha}\,a_{IJ\beta} - a_{KI\beta}\,a_{IJ\alpha}\right) - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(A_{KI\alpha}\,A_{IJ\beta} - A_{KI\beta}\,A_{IJ\alpha}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the u_{KI}-dependence of the terms proportional to $\tilde{P}_{JK}^{(\alpha\beta)}$ can be eliminated as well by means of both the canonical transformation rules for the gauge fields (44) and that for the momenta from equations (47)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{P}_{JK}^{(\alpha\beta)}\left(\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ}+u_{KI}a_{IL\alpha}\,\frac{\partial\overline{u}_{LJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\frac{\partial\overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right)\\
&\quad=\tilde{P}_{JK}^{(\alpha\beta)}\left(\frac{\partial A_{KJ\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}-u_{KI}\,\frac{\partial a_{IL\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,\overline{u}_{LJ}\right)\\
&\quad=\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\partial A_{KJ\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}+\frac{\partial A_{KJ\beta}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\right)-\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{p}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\partial a_{KJ\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}+\frac{\partial a_{KJ\beta}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\right)\\
&\quad=\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(A_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+A_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2{\Gamma'}^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}A_{KJ\xi}\right)-\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{p}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(a_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+a_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}a_{KJ\xi}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the μ,ν-symmetric *sum* of the partial derivatives of the gauge fields does *not* transform like a tensor under space-time transformations $\boldsymbol{y}\mapsto\boldsymbol{x}$. Corresponding to the procedure of introducing a gauge field $\boldsymbol{a}_{KJ}$ in Eq. (45), this means that we must regard $\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ as another “gauge field”.

In all three groups of terms, the crucial requirement for gauge invariance is satisfied, namely that both the emerging terms no longer depend on the u_{KI} and that all of these terms appear in a *symmetric form* in with opposite sign the original and the transformed canonical variables. Summarizing, the transformation of the energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}-\tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}=\mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}_{K}^{\alpha}\Phi_{J}-\overline{\Phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\Pi}_{J}^{\alpha}\right)A_{KJ\alpha}-\mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}_{K}^{\alpha}\phi_{J}-\overline{\phi}_{K}\,\tilde{\pi}_{J}^{\alpha}\right)a_{KJ\alpha}\\
&\quad-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(A_{KI\alpha}\,A_{IJ\beta}-A_{KI\beta}\,A_{IJ\alpha}\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{p}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(a_{KI\alpha}\,a_{IJ\beta}-a_{KI\beta}\,a_{IJ\alpha}\right)\\
&\quad+\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(A_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+A_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2{\Gamma'}^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}A_{KJ\xi}\right)-\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{p}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(a_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+a_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}a_{KJ\xi}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining a second amended Hamiltonian $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{H}}\det\Lambda&=\mathcal{H}\det\Lambda+\mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}_{K}^{\alpha}\phi_{J}-\overline{\phi}_{K}\tilde{\pi}_{J}^{\alpha}\right)a_{KJ\alpha}\\
&\qquad-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{p}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\Big(a_{KI\alpha}a_{IJ\beta}-a_{KI\beta}a_{IJ\alpha}\Big)+\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{p}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(a_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+a_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}a_{KJ\xi}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{49}
$$

this Hamiltonian transforms, as usual, according to

$$
\bar{\mathcal{H}}'\det\Lambda'-\bar{\mathcal{H}}\det\Lambda=\tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}-\tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}},
$$

and thus yields the transformed second amended Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{H}}'\det\Lambda'&=\mathcal{H}\det\Lambda+\mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}_{K}^{\alpha}\Phi_{J}-\overline{\Phi}_{K}\tilde{\Pi}_{J}^{\alpha}\right)A_{KJ\alpha}\\
&\qquad-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\Big(A_{KI\alpha}A_{IJ\beta}-A_{KI\beta}A_{IJ\alpha}\Big)+\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_{JK}^{\alpha\beta}\left(A_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+A_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2{\Gamma'}^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}A_{KJ\xi}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hamiltonian (49) is obviously *form-invariant* under the extended canonical transformation that is generated by $\mathcal{F}_{2}^{\mu}$ from Eq. (46), provided that the original system Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is invariant if expressed in terms of the new canonical variables, $\mathcal{H}'\det\Lambda'=\mathcal{H}\det\Lambda$. With the partial derivatives replaced by covariant derivatives, this result corresponds to that of the conventional gauge theory, which is worked out on the basis of a fixed Minkowski metric, hence a vanishing space-time curvature.

The transformation rule under changes of the space-time location for the *Christoffel symbol* $\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ contained in Eq. (49) is given by

$$\Gamma'^{\eta}{}_{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\,\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\nu}} + \frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\,\frac{\partial^2 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\mu}\partial y^{\nu}}. \tag{50}$$

Similar to the case of the amended Hamiltonian (45) with external 4-vector gauge fields $\boldsymbol{a}_{KJ}$, the Hamiltonian (49) treats the Christoffel symbols as a set of external coefficients whose dynamics are not covered. In order to also include the dynamics of space-time curvature into the system's description provided by the Hamiltonian, we must further amend the generating function (46) to also describe the transformation rule of the Christoffel symbols under changes of the space-time location.

5.3. Including the space-time curvature dynamics

In the extended Hamiltonian formalism, the space-time variables x^{μ} and their conjugates, the elements of the energy-momentum tensor density $\tilde{t}_{\mu}{}^{\nu}$ are treated as dynamical variables, in formal similarity to the description of the fields and their canonical conjugates. We now further amend the extended generating function (46) by adding exactly those terms that describe the transformation of the Christoffel symbols from Eq. (50) due to a transformation of the space-time location

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu} = {} & \tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\mu}_{K} u_{KJ}\,\phi_J + \overline{\phi}_K\,\overline{u}_{KJ}\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{J} - \tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\mu} g^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \tilde{P}^{\alpha\mu}_{JK}\left(u_{KI}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\,\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right) \\ & + q'\tilde{R}'_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\left(\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}\,\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial^2 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

This means that the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ are now treated as *canonical variables* of the original system. The quantities $\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}$ are formally introduced to represent their canonical conjugates. The primed quantities then refer to a transformed space-time location. Moreover, a new coupling constant q' has to be defined in order to match the dimensions of all terms. The generating function $\mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}$ and newly introduced variables are measured in units of

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu} = \text{energy}\cdot\text{length}^{-2}, \qquad \dim R_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu} = \text{length}^{-2}, \qquad \dim \Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda} = \text{length}^{-1}.$$

Consequently, the dimension of the coupling constant q' is

$$\dim q' = \text{energy}\cdot\text{length}.$$

The additional transformation rules derived from the second amended generating function (51) are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\,\Gamma'^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial(q'\tilde{R}'_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\nu})} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\left(\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}\,\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\right) \\ \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mathcal{F}_2^{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{y})\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\sigma}} \\ \tilde{R}_{\xi}{}^{\kappa\lambda\mu} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial(q'\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x}))} = \tilde{R}'_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\,\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\sigma}},\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
{\Gamma'}^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\beta} &= \Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}\,\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}}+\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial^2 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\\
\tilde{R}_{\xi}{}^{\kappa\lambda\mu} &= \tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\,\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\sigma}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the first canonical transformation rule reproduces the desired rule for the Christoffel symbols from Eq. (50). The second canonical transformation rule for the conjugate quantities $\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}$ just depicts the transformation rule for a mixed $(3,1)$ tensor under an arbitrary change of the frame of reference, which shows that $\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}$ is a tensor — in contrast to the $\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}$, which do not transform like a tensor.

It remains to derive the transformation rule for the Hamiltonians by calculating the x^{ν} derivative of all non-canonical variables that are contained in the second amended generating function (51), followed by the contraction with $\partial x^{\nu}/\partial y^{\mu}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{t}_{\nu}{}^{\mu}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}} &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}} = \tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\mu}\frac{\partial g^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\mu}} - \left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\mu}_{K}\,\Phi_J - \overline{\Phi}_K\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu}_{J}\right)\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\\
&\quad - \tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + u_{KI}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{LJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial^2 u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right)\\
&\quad - q'\,\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\left[\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}\left(\frac{\partial^2 y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{\partial^3 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\frac{\partial^2 y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right], \qquad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} - \tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} &= \left(\tilde{\overline{\Pi}}{}^{\beta}_{K}\Phi_J - \overline{\Phi}_K\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\beta}_{J}\right)\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\\
&\quad + \tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\,a_{IL\alpha}\,\overline{u}_{LJ} + u_{KI}a_{IL\alpha}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{LJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\,\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{IJ}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}q}\frac{\partial^2 u_{KI}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\,\overline{u}_{IJ}\right)\\
&\quad + q'\,\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\left[\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}\left(\frac{\partial^2 y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{\partial^3 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\frac{\partial^2 y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

The terms in the first two lines were already discussed in the previous subsection. The sum proportional to $R'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}$ simplifies if we assume this tensor to be skew-symmetric in its indexes β and μ, i.e. $\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu} = -\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\mu\beta}$. All terms that are symmetric in β, μ then vanish, in particular the third order derivative term. Moreover, the entire expression can now be expressed through Christoffel symbols

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\left[\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}\left(\frac{\partial^2 y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}}\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \cancel{\frac{\partial^3 x^{\xi}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}\partial y^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}\frac{\partial^2 y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\kappa}\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right]\\
&= \tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\left[\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\lambda}\left\{\;\left(\Gamma^{\tau}{}_{\xi\sigma}\,\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\tau}}\frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial y^{\mu}} - {\Gamma'}^{\eta}{}_{\tau\mu}\frac{\partial y^{\tau}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\right)\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\left(\Gamma'^{\tau}{}_{\alpha\mu}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\tau}}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\sigma}\frac{\partial x^{\tau}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right)\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\bigg\}\\
&+\left(\Gamma'^{\tau}{}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\tau}}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\sigma}\frac{\partial x^{\tau}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\right)\left(\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\kappa\zeta}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}}\frac{\partial x^{\zeta}}{\partial y^{\mu}}-\Gamma'^{\eta}{}_{\xi\mu}\frac{\partial y^{\xi}}{\partial x^{\kappa}}\right)\bigg]\\
&=\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\,\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}\,\Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\xi\mu}-\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\,\Gamma'^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}\,\Gamma'^{\eta}{}_{\xi\mu}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In this derivation, the transformation rule (50) for the Christoffel symbols in the forms of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}}&=\Gamma'^{\tau}{}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\kappa}}{\partial y^{\tau}}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\sigma}\frac{\partial x^{\tau}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\\
\frac{\partial^2 y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\xi}\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}}&=\Gamma^{\tau}{}_{\xi\sigma}\frac{\partial y^{\eta}}{\partial x^{\tau}}\frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial y^{\mu}}-\Gamma'^{\eta}{}_{\tau\mu}\frac{\partial y^{\tau}}{\partial x^{\xi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

was inserted for the second order derivatives. Furthermore, the skew-symmetry condition $\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}=-\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\mu\beta}$ was used. Again, the crucial requirements for a gauge invariance are satisfied: the emerging transformation rule for the Hamiltonian could be completely expressed in terms of the dynamic variables. Furthermore, all these contributions appear in a *symmetric form* with opposite sign in the original and the transformed canonical variables,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}-\tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}&=\mathrm{i}q\left(\overline{\tilde{\Pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\Phi_J-\overline{\Phi}_K\,\tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)A_{KJ\alpha}-\mathrm{i}q\left(\overline{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\phi_J-\overline{\phi}_K\,\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)a_{KJ\alpha}\\
&-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(A_{KI\alpha}\,A_{IJ\beta}-A_{KI\beta}\,A_{IJ\alpha}\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(a_{KI\alpha}\,a_{IJ\beta}-a_{KI\beta}\,a_{IJ\alpha}\right)\\
&+\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(A_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+A_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2\Gamma'^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}A_{KJ\xi}\right)-\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(a_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+a_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}a_{KJ\xi}\right)\\
&-q'\tilde{R}'{}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\,\Gamma'^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}\,\Gamma'^{\eta}{}_{\xi\mu}+q'\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}\,\Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\xi\mu}.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the basis of a given conventional Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(\phi_I,\overline{\phi}_I,\pi^{\mu}_{I},\overline{\pi}^{\mu}_{I},\boldsymbol{x})$ that is form-invariant under a *global* gauge transformation $\Phi_I=u_{IK}\phi_K$ *and* under a transformation of the space-time location, the total *locally* gauge invariant Hamiltonian is thus finally obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{H}}\det\Lambda&=\mathcal{H}\det\Lambda+\mathrm{i}q\left(\overline{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\phi_J-\overline{\phi}_K\,\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right)a_{KJ\alpha}-q'\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\,\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\xi\mu}\\
&-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(a_{KI\alpha}\,a_{IJ\beta}-a_{KI\beta}\,a_{IJ\alpha}\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(a_{KJ\alpha;\beta}+a_{KJ\beta;\alpha}+2\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}a_{KJ\xi}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{53}
$$

as this Hamiltonian yields, according to the general transformation law (35)

$$
\bar{\mathcal{H}}'\det\Lambda'-\bar{\mathcal{H}}\det\Lambda=\tilde{T}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial X^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}-\tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}},
$$

a transformed Hamiltonian $\bar{\mathcal{H}}'\det\Lambda'$ of the same form in all canonical variables.

In order to describe the dynamics of the 4-vector gauge fields $\boldsymbol{a}_{JI}$, the gauge invariant Hamiltonian must finally be adjoined by the Hamiltonian

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}=\tfrac{1}{4}\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\,p_{KJ\beta\alpha}.
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ must be gauge invariant as well in order for the total Hamiltonian to be invariant. By means of the transformation rule (44) for the canonical momenta $p^{\mu\beta}_{NM}$ we get the scalar expression $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$

$$
\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{NM}\,p_{MN\beta\alpha}=\left(\overline{u}_{NJ}\,\tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\,u_{KM}\right)\left(\overline{u}_{MI}\,P_{IL\beta\alpha}\,u_{LN}\right)
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK} P_{IL\beta\alpha} \left(u_{KM}\overline{u}_{MI}\right)\left(u_{LN}\overline{u}_{NJ}\right) \\
&= \tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\, P_{IL\beta\alpha}\, \delta_{KI}\delta_{LJ} \\
&= \tilde{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\, P_{KJ\beta\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The same reasoning applies for a term required to describe the "curvature dynamics"

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}} = -\tfrac{1}{4}\, q' \tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu} R^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\beta\mu}.$$

Collecting all terms together, the final gauge-invariant trivial extended Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ at space-time event $\boldsymbol{x}$ emerges as

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{H}}_1 = \mathcal{H}\det\Lambda - \tilde{t}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} &+ \mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}^{\alpha}_{K}\phi_J - \overline{\phi}_K\,\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{J}\right) a_{KJ\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\, p_{KJ\beta\alpha} \\
&- \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\,\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(a_{KI\alpha}\,a_{IJ\beta} - a_{KI\beta}\,a_{IJ\alpha}\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(a_{KJ\alpha;\beta} + a_{KJ\beta;\alpha} + 2\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}a_{KJ\xi}\right) \\
&- \tfrac{1}{4}q'\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}R^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\beta\mu} - q'\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\xi\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The subsequent fields equations must be *tensor equations* in order to hold in any coordinate system, hence to be form-invariant under transformations of space-time $\boldsymbol{x}$. Switching to a fixed Minkowski metric, which means to set the Christoffel symbols and the curvature tensor elements in the last line to zero, we recover the Hamiltonian of the conventional $\mathrm{U}(N)$ gauge theory (Struckmeier and Reichau 2012).

5.4. Canonical field equations from the gauge-invariant Hamiltonian

The final gauge-invariant Hamiltonian (55) actually represents a *trivial* extended Hamiltonian. Therefore, the canonical equations for the x^{μ} and the $\tilde{t}_{\mu}{}^{\nu}$ are those from Eqs. (27) and (28), which apply for all extended Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_1$ that emerge from a conventional Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ according to Eq. (22).

5.4.1. Equations for $\phi_I, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_I$ The canonical equations involving the original system's fields $\phi_I, \overline{\phi}_I$ and their conjugates are

$$\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial\phi_I}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right|_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}} &= \frac{\partial\bar{\mathcal{H}}_1}{\partial\tilde{\overline{\pi}}{}^{\mu}_{I}} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\overline{\pi}^{\mu}_{I}} + \mathrm{i}q\,a_{IJ\mu}\phi_J \\
&= \left.\frac{\partial\phi_I}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right|_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathrm{i}q\,a_{IJ\mu}\phi_J \\
\left.\frac{\partial\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{I}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right|_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}} &= -\frac{\partial\bar{\mathcal{H}}_1}{\partial\overline{\phi}_I} = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\overline{\phi}_I}\det\Lambda + \mathrm{i}q\,a_{IJ\alpha}\,\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{J} \\
&= \left.\frac{\partial\pi^{\alpha}_{I}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right|_{\mathcal{H}}\det\Lambda + \mathrm{i}q\,a_{IJ\alpha}\pi^{\alpha}_{J}\det\Lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the partial derivative of the tensor density $\tilde{\pi}^{\mu}_{I}$ is proportional to the covariant derivative of the absolute tensor π^{μ}_{I} since

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{\pi}^{\alpha}_{I}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial\pi^{\alpha}_{I}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\det\Lambda + \pi^{\alpha}_{I}\frac{\partial\det\Lambda}{\partial x^{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{\partial\pi^{\alpha}_{I}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} + \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha\beta}\pi^{\beta}_{I}\right)\det\Lambda = \left(\pi^{\alpha}_{I}\right)_{;\alpha}\det\Lambda.$$

Thus

$$\left(\pi^{\alpha}_{I}\right)_{;\alpha}\Big|_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}} = \frac{\partial \pi^{\alpha}_{I}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\Big|_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathrm{i}q\, a_{IJ\alpha}\pi^{\alpha}_{J}. \tag{56}$$

This correlation accounts for the usual terminology to refer to the right-hand side of Eq. (56) as the "covariant derivative". The pair of canonical field equations implements the "minimum coupling rule".

5.4.2. Equations for $\boldsymbol{a}_{LM}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{LM}$ The correlation of the canonical momenta $p^{\mu\nu}_{KJ}$ to the derivatives of the gauge fields $a_{JK\mu}$ follows from the first canonical equation

$$\frac{\partial a_{LM\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{H}}_1}{\partial \tilde{p}^{\nu\mu}_{ML}} = \tfrac{1}{2} p_{LM\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}q\, \left(a_{LI\nu}\, a_{IM\mu} - a_{LI\mu}\, a_{IM\nu}\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial a_{LM\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \frac{\partial a_{LM\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right).$$

The $p_{LM\nu\mu}$ now turn out to be skew-symmetric in ν, μ,

$$p_{LM\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial a_{LM\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - \frac{\partial a_{LM\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} + \mathrm{i}q\left(a_{LI\nu}\, a_{IM\mu} - a_{LI\mu}\, a_{IM\nu}\right). \tag{57}$$

As the derivatives constitute the curl of $\boldsymbol{a}_{LM}$, this is a tensor equation and, therefore, holds in any coordinate system. With $\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}$ then constituting a skew-symmetric tensor density, the *value* of the term $\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}\left(a_{KJ\alpha;\beta} + a_{KJ\beta;\alpha} + 2\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta} a_{KJ\xi}\right)$ in the Hamiltonian (55) is zero. Yet, this does *not* mean that this term may be eliminated from the gauge-invariant Hamiltonian $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_1$. For, its partial derivatives with respect to the dynamical variables, $\tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{JK}$, $a_{KJ\xi}$, and $\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ do *not* vanish and hence enter into the respective canonical field equations.

The derivative of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_1$ with respect to $a_{NM\mu}$ yields the divergence of $\tilde{p}^{\mu\alpha}_{MN}$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \tilde{p}^{\mu\alpha}_{MN}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} &= -\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{H}}_1}{\partial a_{NM\mu}} \\ &= -\mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{\overline{\pi}}^{\mu}_{N}\phi_M - \overline{\phi}_N\, \tilde{\pi}^{\mu}_{M}\right) - \mathrm{i}q\left(\tilde{p}^{\mu\alpha}_{MJ} a_{JN\alpha} - a_{MJ\alpha}\tilde{p}^{\mu\alpha}_{JN}\right) - \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta}\, \tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{MN}.\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Because of

$$\tilde{p}^{\mu\alpha}_{MN} = p^{\mu\alpha}_{MN}\det\Lambda, \qquad \frac{\partial \det\Lambda}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha\mu}\det\Lambda$$

and hence

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{p}^{\mu\alpha}_{MN}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} + \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta}\, \tilde{p}^{\alpha\beta}_{MN} = \left(\frac{\partial p^{\mu\alpha}_{MN}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} + \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha\beta}\, p^{\mu\beta}_{MN} + \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta}\, p^{\alpha\beta}_{MN}\right)\det\Lambda = \left(p^{\mu\alpha}_{MN}\right)_{;\alpha}\det\Lambda,$$

we can rewrite Eq. (58) as a tensor equation for the covariant divergence of the absolute tensor $p^{\mu\nu}_{MN}$

$$\left(p^{\mu\alpha}_{MN}\right)_{;\alpha} = -\mathrm{i}q\left(\overline{\pi}^{\mu}_{N}\phi_M - \overline{\phi}_N\, \pi^{\mu}_{M}\right) - \mathrm{i}q\left(p^{\mu\alpha}_{MJ}\, a_{JN\alpha} - a_{MJ\alpha}\, p^{\mu\alpha}_{JN}\right). \tag{59}$$

5.4.3. Equations for $\Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta}, R_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\beta\nu}$ Imposing on $R_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}$ the skew-symmetry relation

$$R_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu} = -R_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\mu\beta}, \qquad R^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\beta\mu} = -R^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\mu\beta},$$

the derivative of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_1$ from Eq. (55) with respect to $\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}$ emerges as

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\mu}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\right) = \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{H}}_1}{\partial\left(q'\tilde{R}_{\eta}{}^{\alpha\beta\mu}\right)} = -\tfrac{1}{2} R^{\eta}{}_{\alpha\beta\mu} - \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\xi\mu} - \Gamma^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\mu}\Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\xi\beta}\right). \tag{60}$$

Solved for ${R^{\eta}}_{\alpha\beta\mu}$, this yields

$$ {R^{\eta}}_{\alpha\beta\mu} = \frac{\partial {\Gamma^{\eta}}_{\alpha\mu}}{\partial x^{\beta}} - \frac{\partial {\Gamma^{\eta}}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + {\Gamma^{\xi}}_{\alpha\mu}{\Gamma^{\eta}}_{\xi\beta} - {\Gamma^{\xi}}_{\alpha\beta}{\Gamma^{\eta}}_{\xi\mu}, \tag{61} $$

which is a tensor equation, since we encounter the definition of the Riemann curvature tensor.

Finally, the derivative of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_1$ with respect to ${\Gamma^{\kappa}}_{\tau\sigma}$ yields

$$ \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial {\tilde{R}_{\kappa}}^{\ \tau\sigma\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} &= -\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{H}}_1}{\partial \left(q'{\Gamma^{\kappa}}_{\tau\sigma}\right)} \\ &= {\tilde{R}_{\alpha}}^{\ \tau\sigma\beta}{\Gamma^{\alpha}}_{\kappa\beta} + {\tilde{R}_{\kappa}}^{\ \alpha\beta\sigma}{\Gamma^{\tau}}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{q'}\,\tilde{p}^{\tau\sigma}_{JK} a_{KJ\kappa}. \end{aligned} $$

The last term on the right-hand side induces the coupling of the gauge field $a_{KJ\kappa}$ with the change of the curvature tensor. The partial derivative of the tensor density ${\tilde{R}_{\kappa}}^{\ \tau\sigma\alpha}$ can be replaced by the partial derivative of the corresponding absolute tensor ${R_{\kappa}}^{\tau\sigma\alpha}$ via

$$ \frac{\partial {\tilde{R}_{\kappa}}^{\ \tau\sigma\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial {R_{\kappa}}^{\tau\sigma\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \det\Lambda + {\Gamma^{\beta}}_{\alpha\beta}{R_{\kappa}}^{\tau\sigma\alpha} \det\Lambda. $$

Now, the *partial* derivative of the absolute tensor ${R_{\kappa}}^{\tau\sigma\alpha}$ can be combined with all Γ-dependent terms to form the corresponding *covariant* derivative,

$$ \left({R_{\kappa}}^{\tau\sigma\alpha}\right)_{;\alpha} = \frac{\partial {R_{\kappa}}^{\tau\sigma\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - {\Gamma^{\beta}}_{\kappa\alpha}{R_{\beta}}^{\tau\sigma\alpha} + {\Gamma^{\tau}}_{\alpha\beta}{R_{\kappa}}^{\beta\sigma\alpha} + \underbrace{{\Gamma^{\sigma}}_{\alpha\beta}{R_{\kappa}}^{\tau\beta\alpha}}_{\equiv 0} + {\Gamma^{\beta}}_{\alpha\beta}{R_{\kappa}}^{\tau\sigma\alpha}, $$

which finally produces the tensor equation

$$ \left({R_{\kappa}}^{\alpha\sigma\tau}\right)_{;\alpha} = -\frac{2}{q'}\,p^{\sigma\tau}_{JK}\,a_{KJ\kappa}. \tag{62} $$

Evaluating the contraction $\kappa = \sigma$ of Eq. (62), the left-hand side represents the covariant divergence of the Ricci tensor, which can equivalently be expressed as the derivative of the curvature scalar

$$ \begin{aligned} \left(R^{\alpha\tau}\right)_{;\alpha} &= -\frac{2}{q'}\,p^{\alpha\tau}_{JK}\,a_{KJ\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{2}g^{\tau\alpha}R_{;\alpha} \\ \frac{\partial R}{\partial x^{\mu}} &= -\frac{4}{q'}\,p_{JK\alpha\mu}\,a^{\alpha}_{KJ}. \end{aligned} \tag{63} $$

The matrix of 4-vector gauge fields $\boldsymbol{a}_{KJ}$ times the momentum tensors thus act as the source of the curvature.

Equation (63) shows how the mechanism to describe massive gauge fields by a *locally gauge-invariant* Hamiltonian actually works. Instead of containing the Proca mass term proportional to the square of the gauge fields, $a_{JK\alpha}a^{\alpha}_{KJ}$ — which cannot be patched up into local gauge invariance — the final gauge-invariant Hamiltonian (55) depends on the Riemann curvature tensor, whose divergence is uniquely determined by the gauge fields according to Eq. (62). Equation (63) now shows that the related curvature scalar R depends on its part quadratically on the gauge fields $\boldsymbol{a}_{JK}$. The gauge-invariant Hamiltonian (55) thus depends *indirectly* on the square of the gauge fields, and this dependence is *intermediated* by the Riemann curvature tensor. As will be shown in the following, the equation for the 4-vector gauge fields $\boldsymbol{a}_{JK}$ exhibits a term *linear* in the gauge fields with the Ricci tensor acting as a mass factor.

5.5. *Fixing of q'*

The *Proca Lagrangian* $\mathcal{L}_\mathrm{P}$ describes a massive (spin-1) 4-vector gauge field ***a*** (Griffiths 2008)

$$\mathcal{L}_\mathrm{P} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} p^{\alpha\beta} p_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{8\pi}\Big(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\Big)^2 a_\alpha a^\alpha, \qquad p_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial a_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial a_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}.$$

The symmetric energy-momentum tensor ${t_\mu}^\nu$ corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_\mathrm{P}$ is

$${t_\mu}^\nu = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\left[p^{\mu\alpha}\, p_{\nu\alpha} - \tfrac{1}{4}\delta^\nu_\mu\, p^{\beta\alpha}\, p_{\beta\alpha} + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^\nu_\mu\, a_\alpha a^\alpha - a_\mu a^\nu\right)\right].$$

The contraction $\mu = \nu$ yields

$${t_\alpha}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\Big(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\Big)^2 a_\alpha a^\alpha. \tag{64}$$

From the mixed-tensor representation of the Einstein equation

$$R^\nu_\mu - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta^\nu_\mu\, R = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\, {t_\mu}^\nu,$$

the equation for the curvature scalar R follows from the contraction $\mu = \nu$

$$R = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} {t_\alpha}^\alpha.$$

Inserting the contracted Proca energy-momentum tensor from Eq. (64) yields

$$R = 2G\Big(\frac{m}{\hbar c}\Big)^2 a_\alpha a^\alpha. \tag{65}$$

The admissible gauge of $a^\mu(\boldsymbol{x})$

$$\frac{\partial a_\mu}{\partial x^\nu} = -\frac{\partial a_\nu}{\partial x^\mu}, \qquad p_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial a_\nu}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial a_\mu}{\partial x^\nu} = 2\frac{\partial a_\nu}{\partial x^\mu}$$

generates exactly the required six independent components of the skew-symmetric 4×4 field tensor $p_{\mu\nu}$ from the derivatives of a_μ. With this gauge, the partial x^μ-derivative of Eq. (65)

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial x^\mu} = 2G\left(\frac{m}{\hbar c}\right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\left(a_\alpha a^\alpha\right) = -2G\left(\frac{m}{\hbar c}\right)^2 p_{\alpha\mu} a^\alpha$$

can now be compared with Eq. (63)

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial x^\mu} = -\frac{4}{q'}\, p_{\alpha\mu} a^\alpha$$

in order to fix the coupling constant q',

$$\frac{4}{q'} = 2G\Big(\frac{m}{\hbar c}\Big)^2.$$

We observe that the derivative of the contracted Einstein equation (65) for the not gauge-invariant Proca system agrees with the field equation (63) that was derived from the gauge-invariant Hamiltonian (55).

Equation. (63) is now given by the tensor equation

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial x^\mu} = -\frac{2Gm^2}{\hbar^2 c^2}\, p_{\alpha\mu} a^\alpha = -\frac{m^2}{m_\mathrm{P}^2}\frac{2}{\hbar c}\, p_{\alpha\mu} a^\alpha,$$

with the numerical value of the fundamental constants in SI units amounting to

$$\frac{G}{\hbar^2 c^2} = 6.670 \times 10^{40}\ \mathrm{m}^{-3}\,\mathrm{kg}^{-3}\,\mathrm{s}^2, \qquad \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} = m_\mathrm{P} = 2.176 \times 10^{-8}\,\mathrm{kg}$$

and m_P denoting the Planck mass.

5.6. The emerging of a mass term

In the coupled set of field equations, the term describing a massive gauge field is given by the particular term that is *linear* in the gauge field $\boldsymbol{a}_{KJ}$ with no other field variables involved. Such a term emerges in a second-order equation for the gauge fields that comes forth if the momentum fields $p_{MN}^{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (58) are eliminated according to Eq. (57)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{MN}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{;\nu} &= -\mathrm{i}q\left(\overline{\pi}_{N}^{\mu}\phi_{M} - \overline{\phi}_{N}\,\pi_{M}^{\mu}\right) - \mathrm{i}q\left(p_{MJ}^{\mu\nu}a_{JN\nu} - a_{MJ\nu}p_{JN}^{\mu\nu}\right)\\
p_{MN}^{\mu\nu} &= g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}\left(a_{MN\beta;\alpha} - a_{MN\alpha;\beta}\right) + \mathrm{i}q\left(a_{MJ}^{\nu}\,a_{JN}^{\mu} - a_{MJ}^{\mu}\,a_{JN}^{\nu}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Explicitly, the covariant divergence of $p_{MN}^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{MN}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{;\nu} &= g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}\left(a_{MN\beta;\alpha;\nu} - a_{MN\alpha;\beta;\nu}\right) + \mathrm{i}q(a_{MJ}^{\nu}\,a_{JN}^{\mu} - a_{MJ}^{\mu}\,a_{JN}^{\nu})_{;\nu}\\
&= g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}\left[a_{MN\beta;\nu;\alpha} - a_{MN\alpha;\nu;\beta} + a_{MN\xi}\left(R^{\xi}{}_{\beta\alpha\nu} - R^{\xi}{}_{\alpha\beta\nu}\right)\right] + \mathrm{i}q(a_{MJ}^{\nu}\,a_{JN}^{\mu} - a_{MJ}^{\mu}\,a_{JN}^{\nu})_{;\nu}\\
&= a_{MN\xi}R^{\xi\mu} + g^{\mu\alpha}a_{MN;\nu;\alpha}^{\nu} - g^{\nu\beta}a_{MN;\nu;\beta}^{\mu} + \mathrm{i}q(a_{MJ}^{\nu}\,a_{JN}^{\mu} - a_{MJ}^{\mu}\,a_{JN}^{\nu})_{;\nu}.
\end{aligned}$$

The mass term in the field equation for the gauge fields thus follows from the curvature of space-time, which is, in turn, induced by the gauge fields according to Eq. (63).

The above expression for $\left(p_{MN}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{;\nu}$ has to be equated with the canonical field equation (59) to yield the second-order field equation for the gauge fields

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{MN\alpha}R^{\alpha\mu} + \left(g^{\mu\beta}a_{MN;\alpha}^{\alpha} - g^{\alpha\beta}a_{MN;\alpha}^{\mu}\right)_{;\beta} + \mathrm{i}q(a_{MJ}^{\alpha}\,a_{JN}^{\mu} - a_{MJ}^{\mu}\,a_{JN}^{\alpha})_{;\alpha}&\\
+\,\mathrm{i}q\left(\overline{\pi}_{N}^{\mu}\phi_{M} - \overline{\phi}_{N}\,\pi_{M}^{\mu}\right) + \mathrm{i}q\left(p_{MJ}^{\mu\alpha}a_{JN\alpha} - a_{MJ\alpha}p_{JN}^{\mu\alpha}\right) = 0,& \qquad (66)
\end{aligned}$$

with $p_{MJ\beta\alpha}$ given by Eq. (57).

6. Conclusions

With the present paper, we have worked out a consistent treatise of the extended canonical formalism in the realm of covariant Hamiltonian field theory. On that basis, the conventional principle of local gauge invariance was extended to also require form-invariance of the system's Hamiltonian under variations of the space-time metric. We thus encounter three *additional* terms in the extended gauge-invariant Hamiltonian that describe the dynamics of the space-time metric. These terms correspond to the three terms involving the gauge fields and their canonical conjugates that are well-known from conventional U(N) gauge theory. We thereby also explain the peculiar formal similarities between non-Abelian gauge theories and general relativity (Ryder 2009). In the subsequent set of canonical field equations, the matrix of 4-vector gauge fields, $\boldsymbol{a}_{JK}$, acts as the source of the Riemann curvature tensor's variation. With this equation, general relativity is incorporated in a natural way into gauge theory and thereby extends the conventional gauge formalism which is merely based on introducing gauge fields within a static Minkowski spacetime. In the extended description, where transformations of the space-time metric are included, the existence of *massive gauge fields* no longer breaks the requirement of local gauge invariance. Rather, the gauge fields act as a source of space-time curvature, which in turn appears as a factor in the mass term in the second order equation for the gauge fields. With this approach being entirely new, important issues such as a discussion of the solutions of the new field equations and the renomalizability of the corresponding quantized theory have to be left to further investigation.

Acknowledgment

To the memory of my colleague and friend Dr Claus Riedel (GSI), who contributed vitally to this work. Furthermore, the author is deeply indebted to Professor Dr Dr hc. mult. Walter Greiner from the *Frankfurt Institute of Advanced Studies* (FIAS) for his long-standing hospitality, his critical comments and encouragement.

References

Cheng T-P and Li L-F 2000 *Gauge theory of elementary particle physics* (Oxford: Clarendon)

Griffiths D 2008 *Introduction to Elementary Particles* (Weinheim: Wiley-VCH)

José J V and Saletan E J 1998 *Classical Dynamics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

Ryder L 1996 *Quantum Field Theory, 2nd ed* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

Ryder L 2009 *Introduction to General Relativity* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

Struckmeier J 2005 Hamiltonian dynamics on the symplectic extended phase space for autonomous and non-autonomous systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** 1257

Struckmeier J 2009 Extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism and its application to Feynman's path integral for relativistic quantum physics *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **18** 79

Struckmeier J and Reichau H 2012 General U(N) gauge transformations in the realm of covariant Hamiltonian field theory *Proc. Symp. on Exciting Physics: Quarks and Gluons/Atomic Nuclei/Biological Systems/Networks (Makutsi Safari Farm, South Africa) Interdisciplinary Lectures, Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS)* (to be published by Heidelberg: Springer) arXiv:1205.5754 [hep-th]